

Endogenous Growth Theory

Lecture Notes for the winter term 2010/2011

Ingrid Ott — Tim Deeken | January 11, 2011

CHAIR IN ECONOMIC POLICY



First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth



- Models so far: no sustained long-run growth; relatively little to say about sources of technology differences.
- Models in which technology evolves as a result of firms' and workers' decisions are most attractive in this regard.
- But sustained economic growth is possible in the neoclassical model as well:
 - *AK* model before: relaxed Assumption 2 and prevented diminishing returns to capital.
 - Capital accumulation could act as the engine of sustained economic growth.
- Neoclassical version of the AK model:
 - Very tractable and applications in many areas.
 - Shortcoming: capital is essentially the only factor of production, asymptotically share of income accruing to it tends to 1.
- Two-sector endogenous growth models behave very similarly to the baseline *AK* model, but avoid this.

Demographics, Preferences and Technology



- Focus on balanced economic growth, i.e. consistent with the Kaldor facts.
- Thus CRRA preferences as in the canonical neoclassical growth model.
- Economy admits an infinitely-lived representative household, household size growing at the exponential rate n.
- Preferences

$$U = \int_0^\infty \exp\left(-\left(\rho - n\right)t\right) \left[\frac{c\left(t\right)^{1-\theta} - 1}{1-\theta}\right] dt.$$
 (1)

- Labor is supplied inelastically.
- Flow budget constraint,

$$\dot{a}(t) = (r(t) - n)a(t) + w(t) - c(t),$$
 (2)

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

January 11, 2011

Demographics, Preferences and Technology



No-Ponzi game constraint:

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\left\{a(t)\exp\left[-\int_0^t \left[r(s)-n\right]ds\right]\right\}\geq 0.$$
 (3)

Euler equation:

$$\frac{\dot{c}\left(t\right)}{c\left(t\right)} = \frac{1}{\theta} (r\left(t\right) - \rho). \tag{4}$$

Transversality condition,

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \left\{ a(t) \exp\left[-\int_0^t \left[r(s) - n \right] ds \right] \right\} = 0.$$
 (5)

- Problem is concave, solution to these necessary conditions is in fact an optimal plan.
- Final good sector similar to before, but Assumptions 1 and 2 are not satisfied.

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

4/47

Ingrid Ott — Tim Deeken – Endogenous Growth Theory

Demographics, Preferences and Technology III



More specifically,

$$\mathsf{Y}\left(t
ight)=\mathsf{AK}\left(t
ight)$$
 ,

with A > 0.

- Does not depend on labor, thus w(t) in (2) will be equal to zero.
- Defining $k(t) \equiv K(t) / L(t)$ as the capital-labor ratio,

$$y(t) \equiv \frac{Y(t)}{L(t)} = Ak(t).$$
(6)

- Notice output is only a function of capital, and there are no diminishing returns
- But introducing diminishing returns to capital does not affect the main results in this section.

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

Demographics, Preferences and Technology IV



 More important assumption is that the Inada conditions embedded in Assumption 2 are no longer satisfied,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}f'(k)=A>0.$$

- Conditions for profit maximization are similar to before, and require $R(t) = r(t) + \delta$.
- From (6) the marginal product of capital is A, thus R(t) = A for all t,

$$r(t) = r = A - \delta$$
, for all t . (7)

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

Equilibrium I



• A competitive equilibrium of this economy consists of paths $[c(t), k(t), w(t), R(t)]_{t=0}^{\infty}$, such that the representative household maximizes (1) subject to (2) and (3) given the initial capital-labor ratio k(0) and $[w(t), r(t)]_{t=0}^{\infty}$ such that w(t) = 0 for all t, and r(t) is given by (7).

• Note that
$$a(t) = k(t)$$
.

• Using the fact that $r = A - \delta$ and w = 0, equations (2), (4), and (5) imply

$$\dot{k}(t) = (A - \delta - n)k(t) - c(t)$$
(8)

$$\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} = \frac{1}{\theta} (A - \delta - \rho), \tag{9}$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} k(t) \exp\left(-(A - \delta - n)t\right) = 0.$$
(10)

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott — Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

January 11, 2011

Equilibrium II



- The important result immediately follows from (9).
 - Since the right-hand side is constant, there must be a constant rate of consumption growth (as long as *A* − *δ* − *ρ* > 0).
 - Growth of consumption is independent of the level of capital stock per person, k (t).
 - No transitional dynamics in this model.
- To develop, integrate (9) starting from some c(0), to be determined from the lifetime budget constraint,

$$c(t) = c(0) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\theta}(A - \delta - \rho)t\right).$$
(11)

• Need to ensure that the transversality condition is satisfied and ensure positive growth ($A - \delta - \rho > 0$). Impose:

$$\mathbf{A} > \rho + \delta > (\mathbf{1} - \theta) (\mathbf{A} - \delta) + \theta \mathbf{n} + \delta.$$
 (12)

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

Equilibrium Characterization I



- No transitional dynamics: growth rates of consumption, capital and output are constant and given in (9).
- Substitute for c(t) from equation (11) into equation (8),

$$\dot{k}(t) = (A - \delta - n)k(t) - c(0) \exp\left(\frac{1}{\theta}(A - \delta - \rho)t\right), \quad (13)$$

First-order, non-autonomous linear differential equation in k (t).
 Recall that if

$$\dot{z}\left(t
ight)=az\left(t
ight)+b\left(t
ight)$$
 ,

then, the solution is

$$z(t) = z_0 \exp(at) + \exp(at) \int_0^t \exp(-as) b(s) ds,$$

for some constant z_0 chosen to satisfy the boundary conditions.

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

January 11, 2011

Equilibrium Characterization II



Therefore, equation (13) solves for:

$$\kappa(t) = \frac{\kappa \exp((A - \delta - n) t) + \left[(A - \delta)(\theta - 1)\theta^{-1} + \rho\theta^{-1} - n\right]^{-1}}{\times \left[c(0) \exp\left(\theta^{-1}(A - \delta - \rho)t\right)\right]}$$
(14)

where κ is a constant to be determined.

Assumption (12) ensures that

$$(\mathbf{A}-\delta)(\theta-1)\theta^{-1}+\rho\theta^{-1}-n>0.$$

Substitute from (14) into the transversality condition, (10),

$$0 = \lim_{t \to \infty} [\kappa + [(A - \delta)(\theta - 1)\theta^{-1} + \rho\theta^{-1} - n]^{-1} \times c(0) \exp(-(A - \delta)(\theta - 1)\theta^{-1} + \rho\theta^{-1} - n)t)].$$

Since $(A - \delta)(\theta - 1)\theta^{-1} + \rho\theta^{-1} - n > 0$, the second term in this expression converges to zero as $t \to \infty$.

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott — Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

Equilibrium Characterization III



- But the first term is a constant.
- Thus the transversality condition can only be satisfied if $\kappa = 0$.
- Therefore we have from (14) that:

$$k(t) = [(A - \delta)(\theta - 1)\theta^{-1} + \rho\theta^{-1} - n]^{-1}$$
(15)

$$\times [c(0) \exp(\theta^{-1}(A - \delta - \rho)t)]$$

$$= k(0) \exp(\theta^{-1}(A - \delta - \rho)t),$$

- Second line follows from the fact that the boundary condition has to hold for capital at t = 0.
- Hence capital and output grow at the same rate as consumption.
- This also pins down the initial level of consumption as

$$c(0) = \left[(A - \delta)(\theta - 1)\theta^{-1} + \rho\theta^{-1} - n \right] k(0).$$
 (16)

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

11/47

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

Equilibrium Characterization IV



- Growth is not only sustained, but also endogenous in the sense of being affected by underlying parameters.
 - E.g., an increase in ρ , will reduce the growth rate.
- Saving rate=total investment (increase in capital plus replacement investment) divided by output:

$$s = \frac{\dot{K}(t) + \delta K(t)}{Y(t)}$$
$$= \frac{\dot{k}(t) / k(t) + n + \delta}{A}$$
$$= \frac{A - \rho + \theta n + (\theta - 1)\delta}{\theta A}, \qquad (17)$$

• Last equality exploited $\dot{k}(t) / k(t) = (A - \delta - \rho) / \theta$.

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

January 11, 2011

Equilibrium Characterization V



- Saving rate, constant and exogenous in the basic Solow model, is again constant.
- But is now a function of parameters, also those that determine the equilibrium growth rate of the economy.

Proposition Consider the above-described *AK* economy, with a representative household with preferences given by (1), and the production technology given by (6). Suppose that condition (12) holds. Then, there exists a unique equilibrium path in which consumption, capital and output all grow at the same rate $g^* \equiv (A - \delta - \rho)/\theta > 0$ starting from any initial positive capital stock per worker *k* (0), and the saving rate is endogenously determined by (17).

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

Equilibrium Characterization VI



- Since all markets are competitive, there is a representative household, and there are no externalities, the competitive equilibrium will be Pareto optimal.
- Can be proved either using First Welfare Theorem type reasoning, or by directly constructing the optimal growth solution.

Proposition Consider the above-described *AK* economy, with a representative household with preferences given by (1), and the production technology given by (6). Suppose that condition (12) holds. Then, the unique competitive equilibrium is Pareto optimal.

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

The Role of Policy I



Suppose there is an effective tax rate of τ on the rate of return from capital income, so budget constraint becomes:

$$\dot{a}(t) = ((1 - \tau) r(t) - n) a(t) + w(t) - c(t)$$
. (18)

Repeating the analysis above this will adversely affect the growth rate of the economy, which now is given by:

$$g = \frac{(1-\tau)(A-\delta) - \rho}{\theta}.$$
 (19)

Moreover, the saving rate will now be

$$s = \frac{(1-\tau)A - \rho + \theta n - (1-\tau-\theta)\delta}{\theta A},$$
 (20)

which is a decreasing function of τ if $A - \delta > 0$.

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

January 11, 2011

The Role of Policy II



- In contrast to Solow, constant saving rate responds endogenously to policy.
- Since saving rate is constant, differences in policies will lead to permanent differences in the rate of capital accumulation.
 - In the baseline neoclassical growth model even large differences in distortions could only have limited effects on differences in income per capita.
 - Here even small differences in τ can have very large effects.
- Consider two economies, with tax rates on capital income τ and $\tau' > \tau$, which are exactly the same otherwise.
- For any $\tau' > \tau$,

$$\lim_{t\to\infty}\frac{\mathsf{Y}\left(\tau',t\right)}{\mathsf{Y}\left(\tau,t\right)}=0.$$

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

January 11, 2011

The Role of Policy III



- Why then focus on the standard neoclassical model if the *AK* model can generate arbitrarily large differences?
 - AK model, with no diminishing returns and the share of capital in national income asymptoting to 1, is not a good approximation to reality.
 - Relative stability of the world income distribution in the post-war era makes it more attractive to focus on models in which there is a stationary world income distribution.

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

January 11, 2011

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital I



- Economy admits a representative household with preferences given by (1).
- Production side of the economy

$$Y(t) = F(K(t), H(t)), \qquad (21)$$

- *H*(*t*) denotes efficiency units of labor (or human capital), accumulated in the same way as physical capital.
- $F(\cdot, \cdot)$ now satisfies standard assumptions, Assumptions 1 and 2.
- Budget constraint of the representative household,

$$\dot{a}(t) = (r(t) - n)a(t) + w(t)h(t) - c(t) - i_{h}(t), \quad (22)$$

- *h*(*t*) denotes the effective units of labor (human capital) of the representative household,
- $i_h(t)$ is investment in human capital.

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital II



Human capital of the representative household evolves according to:

$$\dot{h}(t) = i_{h}(t) - \delta_{h}h(t), \qquad (23)$$

- δ_h is the depreciation rate of human capital.
- Evolution of the capital stock is again given from the observation that k(t) = a(t).
- Now denote the depreciation rate of physical capital by δ_k .
- Representative household maximizes its utility by choosing the paths of consumption, human capital investments and asset holdings.
- Competitive factor markets imply that

$$R(t) = f'(k(t))$$
 and $w(t) = f(k(t)) - k(t)f'(k(t))$. (24)

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

19/47

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital III



 Now effective capital-labor ratio is given by dividing the capital stock by the stock of human capital,

$$k(t) \equiv rac{K(t)}{H(t)}.$$

- Competitive equilibrium: paths $[c(t), k(t), w(t), R(t)]_{t=0}^{\infty}$, such that the representative household maximizes (1) subject to (3), (22) and (23) given the initial effective capital-labor ratio k(0) and factor prices $[w(t), R(t)]_{t=0}^{\infty}$ that satisfy (24).
- Current-value Hamiltonian with costate variables µ_a and µ_h:

$$\mathcal{H}(\cdot) = \frac{c(t)^{1-\theta} - 1}{1-\theta} \\ + \mu_{a}(t) \left[(r(t) - n)a(t) + w(t)h(t) - c(t) - i_{h}(t) \right] \\ + \mu_{h}(t) \left[i_{h}(t) - \delta_{h}h(t) \right].$$

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

20/47

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital IV



Candidate solution:

$$\mu_{a}(t) = \mu_{h}(t) = \mu(t) \text{ for all } t$$

$$w(t) - \delta_{h} = r(t) - n \text{ for all } t$$

$$\frac{\dot{c}(t)}{c(t)} = \frac{1}{\theta} (r(t) - \rho) \text{ for all } t.$$
(25)

- $\bullet \ \mathcal{H}\left(\cdot\right)$ is concave, thus candidate solution corresponds to an optimal solution.
- Combining these conditions with (24),

$$f'(k(t)) - \delta_k - n = f(k(t)) - k(t) f'(k(t)) - \delta_h$$
 for all t .

 Since the left-hand side is decreasing in k (t), while the right-hand side is increasing, this implies that the effective capital-labor ratio must satisfy

$$k(t) = k^*$$
 for all t .

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital 000000

Ingrid Ott — Tim Deeken – Endogenous Growth Theory

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital V



Proposition Consider the above-described AK economy with physical and human capital, with a representative household with preferences given by (1), and the production technology given by (21). Let k^* be given by

$$f'(k^*) - \delta_k - n = f(k^*) - k^* f'(k^*) - \delta_h.$$
 (26)

Suppose that

 $f'(k^*) > \rho + \delta_k > (1 - \theta) (f'(k^*) - \delta) + n\theta + \delta_k$. Then, in this economy there exists a unique equilibrium path in which consumption, capital and output all grow at the same rate $g^* \equiv (f'(k^*) - \delta_k - \rho)/\theta > 0$ starting from any initial conditions, where k^* is given by (26). The share of capital in national income is constant at all times.

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott — Tim Deeken – Endogenous Growth Theory

January 11, 2011

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital VI



- Advantage compared to the baseline AK model:
 - stable factor distribution of income, significant fraction accruing to labor as rewards to human capital.
- Also generates long-run growth rate differences from small policy differences, but by generating large human capital differences.

First-Generation Models of Endogenous Growth

The AK Model Revisited

The AK Model with Physical and Human Capital

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

The Two-Sector AK Model I



- Model before creates another factor of production that accumulates linearly, so equilibrium is again equivalent to the one-sector AK economy.
- Thus, in some deep sense, the economies of both sections are one-sector models.
- Also, potentially blur key underlying characteristic driving growth.
- What is important is not that production technology is *AK*, but that the *accumulation technology* is linear.
- Preference and demographics are the same as in the model of the previous section, (1)-(5) apply as before.
- No population growth, i.e., n = 0, and L is supplied inelastically.
- Rather than a single good used for consumption and investment, now two sectors.

Growth with Externalities

The Two-Sector AK Model II



Sector 1 produces consumption goods with the following technology

$$C(t) = B(K_C(t))^{\alpha} L_C(t)^{1-\alpha}.$$
(27)

- Cobb-Douglas assumption here is quite important in ensuring that the share of capital in national income is constant.
- Capital accumulation equation:

$$\dot{K}(t) = I(t) - \delta K(t)$$
 ,

I (t) denotes investment. Investment goods are produced with a different technology,

$$I(t) = AK_{I}(t).$$
(28)

 Extreme version of an assumption often made in two-sector models: investment-good sector is more capital-intensive than the consumption-good sector.

The Two-Sector AK Model ●●○○○○○○○○ Ingrid Ott — Tim Deeken – Endogenous Growth Theory

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions

The Two-Sector AK Model III



Market clearing implies:

$$K_{C}(t) + K_{I}(t) \leq K(t),$$

 $L_{C}(t) \leq L$,

- An equilibrium is defined similarly, but also features an allocation decision of capital between the two sectors.
- Also, there will be a relative price between the two sectors which will adjust endogenously.
- Both market clearing conditions will hold as equalities, so let κ (t) denote the share of capital used in the investment sector

$$K_{C}(t) = (1 - \kappa(t)) K(t)$$
 and $K_{I}(t) = \kappa(t) K(t)$.

From profit maximization, the rate of return to capital has to be the same when it is employed in the two sectors.

The Two-Sector AK Model 000000000 Ingrid Ott — Tim Deeken – Endogenous Growth Theory Growth with Externalities

Conclusions

The Two-Sector AK Model IV



Let the price of the investment good be denoted by p_I(t) and that of the consumption good by p_C(t), then

$$p_{I}(t) A = p_{C}(t) \alpha B \left(\frac{L}{(1-\kappa(t)) \kappa(t)}\right)^{1-\alpha}.$$
 (29)

- Define a steady-state (a balanced growth path) as an equilibrium path in which $\kappa(t)$ is constant and equal to some $\kappa \in [0, 1]$.
- Moreover, choose the consumption good as the numeraire, so that $p_{C}(t) = 1$ for all *t*.
- Then differentiating (29) implies that at the steady state:

$$\frac{\dot{p}_{I}\left(t\right)}{p_{I}\left(t\right)} = -\left(1 - \alpha\right) g_{K},\tag{30}$$

• $g_{\mathcal{K}}$ is the steady-state (BGP) growth rate of capital.

 Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 January 11, 2011 27/47

The Two-Sector AK Model V



- Euler equation (4) still holds, but interest rate has to be for consumption-denominated loans, r_C (t).
- I.e., the interest rate that measures how many units of the consumption good an individual will receive tomorrow by giving up one unit of consumption today.
- Relative price of consumption goods and investment goods is changing over time, thus:
 - By giving up one unit of consumption, the individual will buy 1/p_l(t) units of capital goods.
 - This will have an instantaneous return of $r_{I}(t)$.
 - Individual will get back the one unit of capital, which has experienced a change in its price of $\dot{p}_{I}(t) / p_{I}(t)$.
 - Finally, he will have to buy consumption goods, whose prices changed by p
 _C(t) / p_C(t).

Growth with Externalities

The Two-Sector AK Model VI



Therefore,

$$r_{C}(t) = \frac{r_{I}(t)}{p_{I}(t)} + \frac{\dot{p}_{I}(t)}{p_{I}(t)} - \frac{\dot{p}_{C}(t)}{p_{C}(t)}.$$
(31)

- Given our choice of numeraire, we have $\dot{p}_{C}(t) / p_{C}(t) = 0$.
- Moreover, $\dot{p}_{l}(t) / p_{l}(t)$ is given by (30).

Finally,

$$\frac{r_{l}(t)}{\rho_{l}(t)} = A - \delta$$

given the linear technology in (28).

Therefore, we have

$$r_{\mathsf{C}}(t) = \mathbf{A} - \delta + \frac{\dot{\mathbf{p}}_{l}(t)}{\mathbf{p}_{l}(t)}.$$

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 29/47

The Two-Sector AK Model VII



In steady state, from (30):

$$r_{\rm C}=A-\delta-(1-\alpha)\,g_{\rm K}.$$

From (4), this implies a consumption growth rate of

$$g_{C} \equiv \frac{\dot{C}(t)}{C(t)} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(A - \delta - (1 - \alpha) g_{K} - \rho \right).$$
(32)

 Finally, differentiate (27) and use the fact that labor is always constant to obtain

$$\frac{\dot{C}(t)}{C(t)} = \alpha \frac{\dot{K}_{C}(t)}{K_{C}(t)}.$$

From the constancy of $\kappa(t)$ in steady state, this implies the following steady-state relationship:

$$g_{C} = \alpha g_{K}$$
.

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 January 11, 2011 30/47

The Two-Sector AK Model VIII



Substituting this into (32), we have

$$g_{\kappa}^{*} = \frac{A - \delta - \rho}{1 - \alpha \left(1 - \theta\right)}$$
(33)

and

$$g_{C}^{*} = \alpha \frac{A - \delta - \rho}{1 - \alpha \left(1 - \theta\right)}.$$
(34)

- Because labor is being used in the consumption good sector, there will be positive wages.
- Since labor markets are competitive,

$$w(t) = (1 - \alpha) p_{C}(t) B\left(\frac{(1 - \kappa(t)) K(t)}{L}\right)^{\alpha}$$

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Cor 00 January 11, 2011

The Two-Sector AK Model IX



Therefore, on the balanced growth path.

$$\frac{\dot{w}(t)}{w(t)} = \frac{\dot{p}_{C}(t)}{p_{C}(t)} + \alpha \frac{\dot{K}(t)}{K(t)}$$
$$= \alpha g_{K}^{*}.$$

Thus wages also grow at the same rate as consumption.

Proposition In the above-described two-sector neoclassical economy, starting from any K(0) > 0, consumption and labor income grow at the constant rate given by (34), while the capital stock grows at the constant rate (33).

Can do policy analysis as before.

The Two-Sector AK Model 0000000000 Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory Growth with Externalities

Conclusions January 11, 2011

The Two-Sector AK Model X



• Different from the neoclassical growth model, there is continuous *capital deepening.*

- Capital grows at a faster rate than consumption and output. Whether this is a realistic feature is debatable:
 - Kaldor facts include constant capital-output ratio as one of the requirements of balanced growth.
 - For much of the 20th century, capital-output ratio has been constant, but it has been increasing steadily over the past 30 years.
 - Part of the increase is because of relative price adjustments that have only been performed in the recent past.

Growth with Externalities

Growth with Externalities I



- Romer (1986): model the process of "knowledge accumulation".
- Difficult in the context of a competitive economy.
- Solution: knowledge accumulation as a *byproduct* of capital accumulation.
- Technological spillovers: arguably crude, but captures that knowledge is a largely *non-rival* good.
- Non-rivalry does not imply knowledge is also non-excludable.
- But some of the important characteristics of "knowledge" and its role in the production process can be captured in a reduced-form way by introducing technological spillovers.

Preferences and Technology I



- No population growth (we will see why this is important).
- Production function with labor-augmenting knowledge (technology) that satisfies Assumptions 1 and 2.
- Instead of working with the aggregate production function, assume that the production side of the economy consists of a set [0, 1] of firms.
- The production function facing each firm $i \in [0, 1]$ is

$$Y_{i}(t) = F(K_{i}(t), A(t) L_{i}(t)), \qquad (35)$$

K_i(*t*) and *L_i*(*t*) are capital and labor rented by a firm *i*.
 A(*t*) is not indexed by *i*, since it is common to all firms.

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 011 35/47

Preferences and Technology II



Normalize the measure of final good producers to 1, so the market clearing conditions are:

$$\int_{0}^{1} K_{i}\left(t\right) di = K\left(t\right)$$

and

$$\int_0^1 L_i(t) \, di = L.$$

- L is the constant level of labor (supplied inelastically) in this economy.
- Firms are competitive in all markets, thus all hire the same capital to effective labor ratio, and

$$w(t) = \frac{\partial F(K(t), A(t) L)}{\partial L}$$

$$R(t) = \frac{\partial F(K(t), A(t) L)}{\partial K(t)}.$$

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 36/47

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

Preferences and Technology III



- Key assumption: firms take A (t) as given, but this stock of technology (knowledge) advances endogenously for the economy as a whole.
- Lucas (1988) develops a similar model, but spillovers work through human capital.
- Extreme assumption of sufficiently strong externalities such that A(t) can grow continuously at the economy level. In particular,

$$A(t) = BK(t), \qquad (36)$$

- Motivated by "learning-by-doing." Alternatively, could be a function of the cumulative output that the economy has produced up to now.
- Substituting for (36) into (35) and using the fact that all firms are functioning at the same capital-effective labor ratio, the production function of the representative firm is:

$$\mathbf{Y}(t) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{K}(t), \mathbf{B}\mathbf{K}(t)L).$$

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 111 37/47

Preferences and Technology IV



/47

 \blacksquare Using the fact that $\textit{F}\left(\cdot,\cdot\right)$ is homogeneous of degree 1, we have

$$\frac{Y(t)}{K(t)} = F(1, BL)$$
$$= \tilde{f}(L).$$

Output per capita can therefore be written as:

$$y(t) \equiv \frac{Y(t)}{L}$$
$$= \frac{Y(t)}{K(t)} \frac{K(t)}{L}$$
$$= k(t) \tilde{f}(L),$$

• Again $k(t) \equiv K(t) / L$ is the capital-labor ratio in the economy.

ne Two-Sector AK Model	Growth with Externalities		Conclus
00000000	000000000		00
grid Ott — Tim Deeken – Endogenous Growth Theory		January 11, 2011	38

Preferences and Technology V



• Normalized production function, now $\tilde{f}(L)$.

We have

$$w(t) = K(t)\tilde{f}'(L)$$
(37)

and

$$R(t) = R = \tilde{f}(L) - L\tilde{f}'(L), \qquad (38)$$

which is constant.

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 39/47

Equilibrium I



- An equilibrium is defined as a path $[C(t), K(t)]_{t=0}^{\infty}$ that maximizes the utility of the representative household and wage and rental rates $[w(t), R(t)]_{t=0}^{\infty}$ that clear markets.
- Important feature is that because the knowledge spillovers are external to the firm, factor prices are given by (37) and (38).
- I.e., they do not price the role of the capital stock in increasing future productivity.
- Since the market rate of return is $r(t) = R(t) \delta$, it is also constant.
- Usual consumer Euler equation (e.g., (4) above) then implies that consumption must grow at the constant rate,

$$g_{C}^{*} = \frac{1}{\theta} \left(\tilde{f}(L) - L \tilde{f}'(L) - \delta - \rho \right).$$
(39)

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 1 40/47

Ingrid Ott - Tim Deeken - Endogenous Growth Theory

Equilibrium II



- Capital grows exactly at the same rate as consumption, so the rate of capital, output and consumption growth are all g^{*}_C.
- Assume that

$$\tilde{f}(L) - L\tilde{f}'(L) - \delta - \rho > 0, \tag{40}$$

so that there is positive growth.

 But also that growth is not fast enough to violate the transversality condition,

$$(1-\theta)\left(\tilde{f}\left(L\right)-L\tilde{f}'\left(L\right)-\delta\right)<\rho. \tag{41}$$

Proposition Consider the above-described Romer model with physical capital externalities. Suppose that conditions (40) and (41) are satisfied. Then, there exists a unique equilibrium path where starting with any level of capital stock K(0) > 0, capital, output and consumption grow at the constant rate (39).

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 January 11, 2011 41/47

Equilibrium III



- Population must be constant in this model because of the *scale effect*.
- Since *f*(*L*) *Lf*^{*t*}(*L*) is always increasing in *L* (by Assumption 1), a higher population (labor force) *L* leads to a higher growth rate.
- The scale effect refers to this relationship between population and the equilibrium rate of economic growth.
- If population is growing, the economy will not admit a steady state and the growth rate of the economy will increase over time (output reaching infinity in finite time and violating the transversality condition).

Pareto Optimal Allocations I



- Given externalities, not surprising that the decentralized equilibrium is not Pareto optimal.
- The per capita accumulation equation for this economy can be written as

$$\dot{k}(t) = \tilde{f}(L) k(t) - c(t) - \delta k(t).$$

 The current-value Hamiltonian to maximize utility of the representative household is

$$\hat{H}(k, c, \mu) = \frac{c(t)^{1-\theta} - 1}{1-\theta} + \mu \left[\tilde{f}(L)k(t) - c(t) - \delta k(t)\right].$$

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 43/47

Ingrid Ott — Tim Deeken – Endogenous Growth Theory

Pareto Optimal Allocations II



Conditions for a candidate solution are

$$\hat{H}_{c}(k, c, \mu) = c(t)^{-\theta} - \mu(t) = 0 \hat{H}_{k}(k, c, \mu) = \mu(t) [\tilde{f}(L) - \delta] = -\dot{\mu}(t) + \rho\mu(t), \lim_{t \to \infty} [\exp(-\rho t) \mu(t) k(t)] = 0.$$

Ĥ is strictly concave, thus these conditions characterize the unique solution.

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 2011 44/47

Pareto Optimal Allocations III



 Social planner's allocation will also have a constant growth rate for consumption (and output) given by

$$g_{C}^{S}=rac{1}{ heta}\left(ilde{f}\left(L
ight)-\delta-
ho
ight)$$
 ,

which is always greater than g_{C}^{*} as given by (39)—since $\tilde{f}(L) > \tilde{f}(L) - L\tilde{f}'(L)$.

 Social planner takes into account that by accumulating more capital, she is improving productivity in the future.

Proposition In the above-described Romer model with physical capital externalities, the decentralized equilibrium is Pareto suboptimal and grows at a slower rate than the allocation that would maximize the utility of the representative household.

The Two-Sector AK Model

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions 00 45/47

Conclusions I



- Linearity of the models (most clearly visible in the AK model):
 - Removes transitional dynamics and leads to a more tractable mathematical structure.
 - Essential feature of any model that will exhibit sustained economic growth.
 - With strong concavity, especially consistent with the Inada conditions, sustained growth will not be possible.
- But most models studied in this chapter do not feature technological progress:
 - Debate about whether the observed total factor productivity growth is partly a result of mismeasurement of inputs.
 - Could be that much of what we measure as technological progress is in fact capital deepening, as in the *AK* model and its variants.

Growth with Externalities

Conclusions II



Important tension:

- Neoclassical growth model (or Solow growth model) has difficulty in generating very large income differences
- The models here suffer from the opposite problem.
- Both a blessing and a curse: also predict an ever expanding world distribution.
- Issues to understand:
 - Era of divergence is not the past 60 years, but the 19th century: important to confront these models with historical data.
 - "Each country as an island" approach is unlikely to be a good approximation, much less so when we endogenize technology.

Growth with Externalities